

Message

---

**From:** Sanders, LaTonya [Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 9/17/2019 5:33:39 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Sandeep [mehta.sandeep@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Hoefer, David [Hoefer.David@epa.gov]; Peterson, Mary [Peterson.Mary@epa.gov]; Fisher, Susan [Fisher.Susan@epa.gov]; Pessetto, Jared [Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

Thanks, everyone!

I'll let you know if there is any follow-up from OCIR.

---

**From:** Mehta, Sandeep <mehta.sandeep@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 12:26 PM  
**To:** Sanders, LaTonya <Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <Hoefer.David@epa.gov>; Peterson, Mary <Peterson.Mary@epa.gov>; Fisher, Susan <Fisher.Susan@epa.gov>; Pessetto, Jared <Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

LaTonya:

Please find the scanned copy of the EPA letter that Mary has signed. A copy of this letter has been emailed to Iowa Department of Natural Resources and the Responsible Party, Diamond Vogel. The hard copy of the signed letter is also being sent out via USPS.

To your question on background, the matter is indeed developing but I wouldn't characterize it as enforcement sensitive as much as deliberative at this stage. (This is, after all, IDNR's site to enforce). As Mary mentioned, the body of the email response to the Congressional office would include this short paragraph provided below.

In general terms, Region 7 initiated consultation with headquarters personnel (OSRTI) in January 2019 to discuss matters related to remedy selection and documentation at the Vogel Paint & Wax Site. Among the issues discussed was whether the site could achieve deletion from the NPL with the current groundwater point of compliance, which was designated as the property boundary in an Explanation of Significant Differences issued by IDNR in 2000. Based on the preliminary evaluation, the EPA believes that the point of compliance appears contrary to the EPA's general remedial preference to achieve cleanup levels throughout the contaminated plume, as expressed in the National Contingency Plan. However, the EPA has determined that this issue needs further discussion between the stakeholders to resolve the issue to everyone's satisfaction. Therefore, the EPA plans on having further discussions among the parties concerning the point of compliance.

Please let me know if we can help further.

Very Respectfully,  
Sandeep Mehta, P.E.  
Ph: (913) 551-7763  
email: [mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)



---

**From:** Peterson, Mary <[Peterson.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Peterson.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 11:49 AM  
**To:** Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>; Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>; Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>; Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <[Hoefer.David@epa.gov](mailto:Hoefer.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

I just reviewed and signed the letter to be sent to IDNR and to Vogel. Once the letter has been date stamped, scanned and emailed to IDNR and Vogel, we can send a scanned copy to Congressional offices along with the paragraph Sandeep provided below.

---

**From:** Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 11:11 AM  
**To:** Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>; Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>; Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>; Peterson, Mary <[Peterson.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Peterson.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <[Hoefer.David@epa.gov](mailto:Hoefer.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company  
**Importance:** High

1. Prior to sending anything out, we need to make sure Mary is ok with the plan. I will check with Susan and Mary about this.
2. I will provide the letter shortly since it is yet to be signed by Mary.
3. I have the following modified version of the paragraph:

As for background, the matter is indeed developing but I wouldn't characterize it as enforcement sensitive as much as deliberative at this stage. (This is, after all, IDNR's site to enforce.) In general terms, Region 7 initiated consultation with headquarters personnel (OSRTI) in January 2019 to discuss matters related to remedy selection and documentation at the Vogel Paint & Wax Site. Among the issues discussed was whether the site could achieve deletion from the NPL with the current groundwater point of compliance, which was designated as the property boundary in an Explanation of Significant Differences issued by IDNR in 2000. Based on the preliminary evaluation, the EPA believes that the point of compliance appears contrary to the EPA's general remedial preference to achieve cleanup levels throughout the contaminated plume, as expressed in the National Contingency Plan. However, the EPA has determined that this issue needs further discussion between the stakeholders to resolve the issue to everyone's satisfaction. Therefore, the EPA plans on having further discussions among the parties concerning the point of compliance.

Very Respectfully,  
Sandeep Mehta, P.E.  
Ph: (913) 551-7763  
email: [mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)



---

**From:** Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:37 AM  
**To:** Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>; Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>; Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <[Hoefer.David@epa.gov](mailto:Hoefer.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

Hi Susan,

o.k., thanks.

We just now need a copy of the letter.

I'll send this to OCIR.

---

**From:** Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:23 AM  
**To:** Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>; Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>; Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <[Hoefer.David@epa.gov](mailto:Hoefer.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

LaTonya,

I am good with what Jared has written below.

---

**From:** Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 16, 2019 3:35 PM  
**To:** Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>; Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>; Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Hoefer, David <[Hoefer.David@epa.gov](mailto:Hoefer.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

Hi LaTonya – The letter is circulating through concurrence and should see Mary's signature today. I'll rely on Sandeep or Susan to provide a copy of the correspondence when it's finalized.

As for background, the matter is indeed developing but I wouldn't characterize it as enforcement sensitive as much as deliberative at this stage. (This is, after all, IDNR's site to enforce.) In general terms, Region 7 initiated consultation with headquarters personnel (OSRTI) in January 2019 to discuss matters related to remedy selection and documentation at the Vogel Paint & Wax Site. Among the issues discussed was whether the site could achieve deletion from the NPL with the current groundwater point of compliance, which was designated as the property boundary in an Explanation of Significant Differences issued by IDNR in 2000. OSRTI staff advised Region 7 that this point of compliance appears contrary to the EPA's general remedial preference to achieve cleanup levels throughout the

contaminated plume, as expressed in the National Contingency Plan, and that site deletion may not be viable until site-wide cleanup is accomplished. When this issue was incorporated into a draft FYR, both IDNR and Diamond Vogel submitted comments to EPA objecting to the recommendation. The issue was therefore identified as an "Other Finding" in the final FYR, rather than a recommendation, and EPA has invited further discussion among the parties concerning the need to amend the point of compliance to reach NPL deletion.

Please allow Sandeep and Susan an opportunity to review this summary and offer other observations before responding to the senators' offices. As far as I am concerned, though, the issue is known to both IDNR and Vogel and ought to be communicated openly to interested elected officials.

Jared Pessetto  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. EPA, Region 7  
11201 Renner Boulevard  
Lenexa, Kansas 66219  
(913) 551-7793

---

**From:** Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 16, 2019 3:09 PM  
**To:** Pessetto, Jared <[Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov](mailto:Pessetto.Jared@epa.gov)>; Mehta, Sandeep <[mehta.sandeep@epa.gov](mailto:mehta.sandeep@epa.gov)>; Fisher, Susan <[Fisher.Susan@epa.gov](mailto:Fisher.Susan@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company  
**Importance:** High

Good Afternoon,

Any thoughts?

---

**From:** Snyder, Raquel <[Snyder.Raquel@epa.gov](mailto:Snyder.Raquel@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 16, 2019 12:03 PM  
**To:** Sanders, LaTonya <[Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov](mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Levine, Carolyn <[Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov)>; Janifer, Pamela <[Janifer.Pamela@epa.gov](mailto:Janifer.Pamela@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Inquiry from Sen. Grassley re: Vogel Paint and Wax Company

Hi LaTonya,

Grassley's office is asking if we can provide any additional detail on EPA changing the point of compliance and IDNR's letter in support of Diamond Vogel. Since this is enforcement sensitive my guess would be that we are limited in what we can say but if we can share any additional details that are not sensitive that would be great.

Also, just let me know once the letter is signed and the parties notified so that I may let both Senate offices know.

Many thanks,

Raquel Snyder  
Congressional Liaison  
U.S. EPA/Office of Congressional Affairs  
(202)564-9586